

Malaprabha Project has been ready during the last 3-4 years, but the Upper Krishna Project is just ready. The estimates and the details have been discussed between our representatives and the C.W.P.C.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the control and other things rest with the C.W.P.C. and unless they accord sanction, the State Government cannot undertake the work?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I wish my friend does not ask for a definite reply from me in this matter.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Have they suggested any changes in the designs proposed by the Engineers of Mysore; if so, does it affect the availability of water in the Krishna river?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It does not affect the Krishna river volume. Possibly, they may suggest some designs. So far I am not aware of any designs keeping in view the volume of the work.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Have they suggested any changes or use of materials in the designs as a result of which the construction cost would go up?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I am not in possession of that information. My friend may give notice.

#### DISCUSSION BETWEEN THE CHIEF MINISTERS OF MYSORE AND MADRAS RELATING TO HOGENEKAL PROJECT.

\*Q.—26. SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether any informal discussion has taken place between the Chief Ministers of Mysore and Madras States relating to the Hogenekal Project;

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, what were the points discussed and agreed upon and the points of disagreement which need further probe?

A.—SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) Yes.

(b) The Chief Ministers have agreed to look into the Schemes as might be prepared by the Departments of the two Governments and see what could be agreed to in the best interest of both the States.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the discussions which were held at the officers' level were a failure, as a result of which the Chief Ministers of the two States had to meet and decide on the dis-<sup>u</sup>ed question?

†SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot say they were a failure. According to our officers, there was a slight stiffness on the part of the Madras officers and they were not fully prepared to consider all aspects of the matter especially with regard to availability of water and the records and other

things connected. So it did not break but after all, it did not continue ; but when we met and informally discussed, we felt that whatever the respective opinions expressed by experts, we might discuss the general aspects of this Cauvery power project.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.— May I know whether the Mysore Engineers have suggested any modification in the estimates prepared by the Madras Government ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—The estimates ought to be different because according to the Mysore Engineers after having satisfied the irrigation necessities of Cauvery River in Mysore they have prepared a scheme which would produce mostly in Mysore area and a little bit in the common area 12 lakhs Kilowatts of power, whereas the Madras Engineers have prepared a scheme which contemplates production of about 8 lakhs K.W.S. of power using the entire water of Cauvery at Hogenkal.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—If the Madras Scheme were to be accepted and acted upon there would be quite a greater extent of submersion in Mysore area.

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Not much. In fact, it is our scheme which means more submergence because the present Sivasamudram and Shimsha Project may be submerged because we get a larger height for the power project.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Did the Chief Minister of Mysore that is yourself, raise any question about the construction of Pochampad and Amaravathi in contravention of the 1925 Agreement by the Madras Government ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I do not think it must be raised, but what we decided was about the Cauvery Power Project mostly and to see that our necessities of irrigation were not adversely affected.

SRI S. M. KRISHNA.—If the Mysore scheme were to be acted upon, do we have to share power with the Madras State ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Our Mysore scheme has not been finalised. I am asking to do it quickly. Our designs and estimates are there. It will be a big project costing more than Rs. 80 crores. It may be necessary and it would be good also to see in what way we can do it jointly.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.— Is it not desirable to raise the question of the utilisation of Cauvery waters in contravention of the 1924 Agreement by the Madras Government, while holding discussion of this Scheme ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—Our dry areas must have first priority. Our Cauvery waters under the 1924 Agreement should be utilised for irrigation and after utilising the waters for irrigation, we will be able to produce, according to our Engineers, by the Cauvery waters 12 lakhs K.Ws. of power.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the Government of Mysore has instructed their Engineers to prepare a separate scheme so that the Madras scheme may not affect our waters ?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—When we ask experts, we do not put conditions. We ask how best the waters can be utilised, giving them our requirements. We tell them how much water is available for irrigation and how much power can be utilised.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—May I know whether the Government of Mysore depends upon the survey and estimates made by the Government of Madras or our Government is going to have a separate estimate prepared so that all the available water may be utilised?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—We are having a separate estimate prepared.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕೆಜ್ಞಪ್ಪ.—ಆಗ ತಾವು ಅಪ್ಪಣಿ ಮಾಡಿಸಿ ಕೊಡಿಸಿದಿರಿ ಹೊಗೇನಕರ್ಗೆ ಖರಾರಾಗಿ ಮೈತ್ರಿಸಾರು ಪೂರ್ಜಕ್ಕೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಾಡುವಾಗ ಯಾವುದೂ ವಿಷಯ ಮಾತನಾಡಲ್ಪ್ರ ಎಂದು, ಇದಕ್ಕೆ ಮೂಂಟಿತವಾಗಿ ತಾವು ಖರಾರಾನು ಸರ್ಕಾರದೂದನೆ ಬಚೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಿರಾ; ಅವರಿಗೆ ಏನಾ ದರೂ ಶರತ್ತು ಹಾಕಿದ್ದಿರಾ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಇಂದು ಈಡಿಗೆ ೨-೩ ತಿಂಗಳಿನಿಂದ ಬಂದಿರುವುದು. ಅವರು ನುಮಾರು ಖರಾರು ಮಾಡುವ ವರ್ಷದ ಕೆಳಗೆ ಒಂದು ಪೂರ್ಜಕ್ಕೆ ತಯಾರಿಸಾಡಿಕೊಂಡಿದ್ದರು, ಬರಾವ ನೀರನ್ನು ರಾಣಿ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಬೇಕೆಂಬ ಉದ್ದೇಶಿಸಿದಿದ್ದ ಅದು ಆಗುವುದಿಲ್ಲ. ನಮ್ಮ ನೀರು ಎಷ್ಟು ಇದೆಯೋ ಅದನ್ನು ನೀರಾ ವರಿಗೆ ಉಪಯೋಗಿಸಿಕೊಂಡು ಉಳಿದ ನೀರನ್ನು ಸರಿಯಾಗಿ ವಿನಿಯೋಗಿಸಿದರೆ ೧೨ ಲಕ್ಷ ಕೆಲೋ ವಾಟ್‌ನ್ನು ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ಪನ್ಮಾಪ್ತಿ ಉತ್ಪನ್ಮಾಪ್ತಿ ತ್ವರಿತ ಎಂದು ನಾವು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದವು ಆ ಪ್ರಕಾರ ಒಂದು ಶ್ರೀ೯೦ ಸ್ಥಿಲವಾಗಿ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದಿವೆ. ಈ ಖರಾರಕ್ಕೆ ವಿನೋಧ ಕೆಂಡು ಬಂದಿದ್ದೀರಿದೆ ಅದನ್ನು ತೆಗೆದು ಹಾಕಿ ಇಟ್ಟಿರೂ ಸೇರಿ ಎಲ್ಲಾರೂ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗತಕ್ಕ ರೀತಿಯಲ್ಲಿ ಮಾಡಬೇಕೆಂದು ಯೋಜನೆ ಮಾಡಿದ್ದೇವೆ. ಮೈತ್ರಿಸಂಖಾರಿಗೆ ನೀರಾವರಿಯಾಗಿ ಉಳಿಯತಕ್ಕ ನೀರು ಇಟ್ಟು ಅಂತ ನಮ್ಮ ಎಸ್ಟಿಪ್ಪು ಇದೆ. ಅದು ತಯಾರಾದ ನಂತರ ನಾವು ಅವರೂ ಸೇರಿ ವಿಚಾರ ಮಾಡಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ.

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ಎಂ. ಕೃಷ್ಣ.—ಹೊಗೇ ನಕಳೆ ಪಾರ್ಶ್ವಕ್ಕೆ ನಂಬಂಧದಲ್ಲಿ ಮುಖ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಹೆಲಕಾರ್ಫ್ರೋ ಹೇಳೆ ಏರಿ ಹೊಗೇ ನರ್ಮಾಲಾದಬೇಕಾಗುತ್ತದೆ ಎಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದು ನಿಬೇ!

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅವಶ್ಯಕತೆ ಕೆಂಡು ಬಂದರೆ ಅದೂ ಮಾಡೋಣ. ಏಕೆಂದರೆ ಅಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ಹೊಗುವುದು ಬಹಳ ಕಷ್ಟ.

SRI S. KOLKEBAIL.—Will the Government suggest to the Centre to link Godavari, Krishna and Cauvery to resolve the water difficulty?

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—It is a very nice suggestion. I may say that round about the last years of the last century, the scheme was there to connect Ganga, Jamuna, Godavari and Cauvery along with Krishna. I do not think that scheme will fructify now. It is no doubt very appealing.

ಶ್ರೀ ಬಿ. ಹಾಡೆಗ್ರೋಡ.—ಇಲ್ಲಿಗೆ ೨೫ ವರ್ಷಗಳ ಕಳಗೆ ಹೇಳೇ ದಾಟನಲ್ಲಿ ವಿದ್ಯುತ್ಪನ್ಮಾಪ್ತಿಯನು ತಯಾರಿಸಾಡಲು ಏಸ್ಟಿಪ್ಪು ಅಂದಾಜು ಇತ್ತಾರೆ ಯೋಜನೆಗಳಲ್ಲಿ ಸಿದ್ಧವಾಗಿರಲಿಲ್ಲವೇ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪ.—ಅಗಿತ್ತು ಅದರೆ ಅಂದು ಒಂದು ಪಣಿ ಯೋಜನೆಯಾಗಿತ್ತು. ಅದ ರಿಂದ ಕೇವಲ ೨ ಕೆಲೋ ವಾಟ್‌ನ್ನು ಪವರ್ ಉತ್ತರ್ತಿಯಾಗುತ್ತಿತ್ತು. ಅದರೆ ಆಗ ಹೇಳಿದ್ದ ಯೋಜನೆಯನ್ನು ನಾವು ತಯಾರಿಸುತ್ತಿದ್ದೇವೆ.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—While carrying on further discussions, may I know whether it would be the policy of the Mysore Government to agree to the Hogenkal project only if the interests of our State is not affected.

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—That has been kept all along.

ಶ್ರೀ ಸಿ. ಜೆ. ಮುಕ್ಕೆಜ್ಞಪ್ಪ.—ಆಗ ತಾನೆ ಮಾನ್ಯ ಮಂತ್ರಿಗಳು ಅವರೂ ನಾವು ಕುಳಿತುಕೊಂಡು ಬಾಯಿಂಟ್ ಎಫೆಚ್‌ನಿಂದ ಈ ಪ್ರತ್ಯೇಕಿಯನ್ನು ತಿಂಡಿಸಿಸಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಅಂದು ಹೇಳಿದ್ದರು. ಅಂದರೆ ಆ ತಿಂಡಿಸಿಸಿದ್ದೀರೋ ಚೇಸಿನೆ ಹೇಳೆ ಮಾಡುತ್ತೇರೋ ಹೇಗೆ?

ಶ್ರೀ ಎಸ್. ನಿಜಲಿಂಗಪ್ಪೆ.— ಈ ಪತ್ರ ಕೊನು ಹುಟ್ಟುವುದಕ್ಕೆ ಮುಂಚೆಯೇ ಕುರಾವಿ ಹೊಲಿಸಿದಂತಿದೆ; ಇಬ್ಬ ರಿಗ್ಸ್ ಅನುಕೂಲವಾಗುವವಾಗೆ ಶ್ರೀಮಾರ್ತಿನವಾದಿಕೆಸ್ಕುಲಾಗುತ್ತದೆ. ಅದರೆ ಈ ಕಲನ ಒಂದು ದಿನಧಳ್ಳಿ ಅಗತಕ್ಕುದ್ದಳ್ಳಿ.

**STATEMENT MADE BY THE UNION MINISTER FOR AGRICULTURE  
IN VIJAYAWADA ABOUT NAGARJUNASAGAR PROJECT.**

\*Q.—29. SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI (Afzalpur).—

Will the Government be pleased to state:—

(a) whether they are aware that the Union Minister for Agriculture made a statement in Vijayawada on 12th January 1963 that the Nagarjunasagar Project would be completed in all the states according to plan to increase the agricultural production programme;

(b) if the answer to (a) is in the affirmative, what steps do Government propose to press the legitimate claims of Mysore over Krishna-Godavari waters before any steps are taken by the Central Government?

A.—SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA (Chief Minister).—

(a) The Press Reports dated 12th January 1963 do indicate that that Sri Ram Subhag Singh, Union Minister for Agriculture, has made such a statement at Vijayawada.

(b) The matter has been taken up with the Government of India. All steps will be taken to see that Mysore's rights are safeguarded.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—Is it a fact that this statement made by a Central Minister .....

MR. SPEAKER.—The hon. Member should not use the word in regard to a Central Minister on the floor of this House.

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—May I submit that word may be expunged?

MR. SPEAKER.—Yes. The hon. Member may call it by any proper name but not call it.....

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—It is a wrong statement made by the Central Minister.....

MR. SPEAKER.—All that I say is that the hon. Member may use the strongest word or even more than that. But to say.....\* in respect of a person who is not here to defend himself, is something which I cannot allow.

SRI ANNA RAO GANAMUKHI.—It is a very wrong and irrational statement made by a Central Minister when the entire 1951 agreement is controversial and subject to discussion between this and other Governments.

SRI S. NIJALINGAPPA.—I cannot say he was irrational or even wrong. My reading of the situation is that having visited the area and possibly after having gone through certain facts submitted to him, he made a statement. That statement was not only made by the Agricultural Minister but also by the Home Minister. I have written to them that they have not been fully informed, and they said that on the facts placed before them they made that statement but if other facts are brought to them they are not going to stick on to their earlier point.

\* Expunged as ordered by the chair